U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIDRARY AND ARCHIVES

FEB 21 1935

-A N							
MCC. NO.							

Fo	rm	504
Rev.	Dec	. 1933

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R. S. PATTON, DIRECTOR

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic | Sheet No. F & FF

New Jersey State ...

LOCALITY

RARITAN RIVER

- a Sayreville to Perth Amboy
- b. New Brunswick to Sayreville

193 4

CHIEF OF PARTY

E. R. McCarthy

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1884



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

rEB 28 1935

REG. NO

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

Acc.	No.
------	-----

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. F&FF
REGISTER NO. 62198
6219b
General locality Raritan River
General locality Raritan River G. Sayreville to Perth Amboy h. New Brunswick to Sayreville Locality The Townswick to Sayreville
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey October , 1934
Vessel Field Party No. 14
Chief of party E. R. McCarthy
Surveyed by A. E. Durie
Inked by A. E. Durie and S. M. Green Jr.
Heights in feet aboveto ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated May 10 1934
Remarks:

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT to accompany TOPOGRAPHIC SHEETS NO. F&FF.

AUTHORITY:

Instructions of the Director dated May 10,1934.

LIMITS:

The Raritan River from Pennsylvania Railroad Bridge to New Brunswick. Includes South River to Sayerville and Washington Canal.

CONTROL:

Ample triangulation control well distributed over the sheet from 1932 scheme, which was part of Greater New York triangulation.

METHODS: All points and objects were located by plane table triangulation.

DESCRIPTION OF COAST:

GENERAL:

The Raritan River shoreline from the Raritan River Bridge (Pennsylvania Railroad) at Perth Amboy is marshy on both banks up to its junction with the South River. Beyond this point the banks are high and steep.

The lower section below the route 35 highway bridge has been improved in numerous places (principally on the north bank) by the construction of bulkheads and the filing in of marsh land and there are a number of plants located on this improved area, mainly brick, chemical and nitrate industries. Practically all industries are operating on reduced schedules. The area along the river is becoming more important commercially. There are a few pleasure boats in the district.

DETAILS:

The north bank of the river is highly industralized, and built up solidly from the railroad bridge to the plants of the Carburumdum Company at Keasbey. The Raritan Arsenal is plocated at Dixon and the Nixon Nitrate Works (this company no longer maintains its piers) and Metropolitan Cement Plant between here and the highway bridge.

DESCRIPTION OF COAST:

DETAILS (CON'T)

The south bank has fewer industries and more waste land. The plants of the Titanium Pigmentation Company (now building), the Crossman Company, The New Jersey Central Power and Light Company, and the Sayer and Fisher Bricks Works at Sayerville are the largest and most important companies. The marsh west of the Victory Bridge has been filled in with spoil from the Cut-off Channel.

The banks of South River and Washington Canal are marsh or fill and have little importance. The area between them is all marsh. There are a few abandoned brick yards located on the east bank of the Canal.

CITIES AND BOROS:

New Brunswick is the largest city within the limits of the sheet. It is the most important commercial and educational center on the Baritan. There are a number of industries located here (none of which are operating on full shhedule) as are also Rutgers College and the New Jersey State College for Women. The city is built entirely on the south bank of the river and is connected with the north bank by a highway and a railroad bridge. The city itself is old and -apparently- not very prosperous.

Sayerville is at the junction of the Raritan River and Washington Canal. It is a company town and is the site of the Sayer and Fisher Brick Works which are doing very little business. A sub-station and power plant of the New Jersey Central Power and Light Company has been built within recent years on the point northeast of the brick works.

South River is a larger place than Sayerville and is a combination of factory town and residential section. The workers in the factories located on the South River live on the river banks and in the older part of the town while a new and more prosperous residential section has been built on the hills above the river.

U. S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS:

The U. S. Engineers (Harbor Line Board) control consisted of a scheme of triangulation which extended from a measured base between stations RnR 1 - RnR 2 on the railroad bridge at South Amboy to a measured base between the two stations located on the highway bridge at New Brunswick. The U. S. Engineers (2nd New York District) also had some control points or sounding stations - located by traverse- on the river but has abandoned its stations in favor of the Harbor Line shheme.

U.S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS (CON'T)

In 1932 several line and point connections were made with the Engineers (Harbor Line) triangulation and in 1934 all the positions were computed as the Coast Survey Datum and Geographic positions determined. The Harbor line stations were well marked and are all easily recoverable.

The co-ordinate system of the Engineers was plotted on the sheet by assuming that the co-ordinates of RnR 13 as given were correct and from this point drawing the five thousand foot lines perpendicular and parallel to the latitudes (RnR 13 was taken as origin for 20th sheets). The following differences were noted:

RnR 2 plots 13 meters north and 3 meters west of true station.

RnR 7a plots 10 meters north and 11 meters west of true station.

RnR 8 plots 6 meters north and 5.5 meters west of true station.

RnR 13 plots Origin

RnR 16 plots 6.5 meters south and 4 meters east of true station.

RnR 15A plots 10 meters south of truemstation.

*Wall plots 23 meters south and 2.5 meters west of true station.

* Station of 2nd District - not in triangulation Scheme.

The above discrepancies are probably due to a poor starting azimuth.

As all the stations have been computed on the Raritan River triangulation executed by the Survey in 1932 the discrepancies are important only to show the accuracy of the Engineers work.

LANDMARKS:

List of landmarks is attached.

NAMES:

Geographic names were obtained by the air photo topographic party of R. C. Bolstad. Names shown in pencil on the sheet are local names in local use.

MISCELLANEOUS:

The Delaware and Raritan Canal is no longer in use and the locks and equipment are in poor condition.

Computations of the Harbor Line Board triangulation have been previously filed.

Respectfully submitted,

Albert E. Durie,

Topographer, C. & G. Survey,

Approved and Forwarded:

E. R. McCarthy, Chief of Party,

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. GOAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

MANDWARKSOFOROGHARTS

ATDS	ጥ	TVAIR	CA	WT	nΝ

	Elemi, Florida
	February 14 193 5
Differencia II-S Colori Las Crionzina Stringeri	

Director, U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey:

The following determined objects are prominent, can be readily distinguished from seaward from the description given below, and should be charted.

				<u></u>	<u></u>	E. R. Lice	arthy		· Chief of Party.
	POSITIÕN								
DESCRIPTION		LATITUDE			LONG	SITUDE	H. A.	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED
	۰		D. M. METERS	۰		D. P. METERS	1927	MILITATION	
BEACON NO.2 (1932)	40	. 30	745.7	74	18	1284.5	p.	Trian	
BEACON Ho.3 (1932)	40	29	1781.9	74	,19	668.5	n	#	
BEACON NO. 4 (1932)	40	29	410.9	74	19	1412.8	tt	Ð	· ·
Beacon No. 5 (1932)	40	29	95.5	74	20	912.5	17	tt	-
BEACON No. 6 (1932)	40	29	105.8	74	21	525.9	11	17	
BEACON NO. 7 (1932)	40	28	550.9	74	22	114.9	11	fl fl	
BEACON NO. 8 (1932)	.40.	28	1293.4	74	22	02.5	er e	11	
BEACON NO. 9 (1978)	40	28	1198.4	74	22	925.5	17	ti	
Pris " ") BEACON NO. 10 (Tris encon Mo. 10)	40	29	76.5	74	23	48.6	G G	FB .	
BEACON NO. 11 (Tri. Beacon No.11)	40	29	184.2	74	23	869.2	17	ri ri	
BEACON NO. 12/3	40	29	410.9	74	25	466.6	#	n	
					<u>-</u>				
			-						
	L			<u></u>		1		<u> </u>	

A list of objects carefully selected because of their value as landmarks as determined from seaward together with Individual descriptions, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report.

The selection, determination, and description of these points are an important factor in the value of the chart. Land-

marks selected at appropriate intervals can be clearly charted. However, when none is outstanding, a group of two or three objects may by their interrelationship provide positive indentification. A group so selected should be indicated. The description of each object should be short, but such as will clearly identify it; for example, a standpipe, elevated tan gas tank, church spire, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Assign numerals to landmarks to indicate: (1) offshore, (2) inshore, (3) harbor, 1, 2, 3 would be a mark useful on all charts. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not enforced to the chart. sufficiently permanent to chart. U. S. GOVERNMEN'S PRINTING OFFICE: 1928



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

 '	EIGHT, PLOUMA	
:	February 14	. 193 5

DIRECTOR, U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY:

The following determined objects are prominent, can be readily distinguished from seaward from the description given below, and should be charted.

					E. R.	LicCart	hy	Chief of Party.
			POSI	TION		· ·	-	
DESCRIPTION	LATI	TUDE		LONG	ITUDE	N.A	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED
	0 1	D. M. METERS	۰	1	D, P. METERS	1927	MINATION	
TWIN .H.L.Chimney (O NE Chy.S.Rv.Bk.	o. 40 2	7 414	74	22	1211	n:	Торо	375
CHYS. ,SV chimney								
(SW chy. S. Rv. BrickCo	40 27	412	74	22	1213	. 0	Торо	875
SPIRE, wh. Womens Col. (Tri. Spire (Womens Col)	40 29	533.5	74	25	241.	n	Trian	375
STACK, yel, NJ Rub. Shoe (Topo. Gil) Co.	40 29		74	26	513	17	Topo.	375
TANK (FLEV) (Tri.Tank (J&J Co.)	40 50	424.1	74	26	127.9	a	Trian.	575 v
GAS TANK (Tri.Gas Holder (PS)	40 29	1090.7	74	23	1189.5	n n	Trian.	375
STACK, concrete [Pri.Chy.Let. Cement Co	40 29	698.4	74	25	503.8	t)	Trian.	375 🗸
STACK, brick red (Tri.Chy.Antimony Prod.	40 29	748.0	74	25	180.6	. 11	Trian.	5 7 5 -
TANK(ELEV) (Tell tank, JC Power)	40 28	1165.3	74	21	458.9	(1	Trian.	375
STACK, brick red (Chy.(Incinerator)	40 30	1754.4	74	17	473.2	n	Trian.	375
LOADING TOWER (Topo. Ape)	40 29	451.0	74	19	550.	ţa.	Σopo	375
STACK, sq. red brick (Tri.sq. Chy (S&F Co.)	40 28	437.1	74	22	82.5	n	Trian	375
TWIN N.Cap. SH Ch. (Tri.N.cup S.Hrt Ch	40 28	1505.3	74	17	604.0	SF ,	Trian	375
CUPOLAS S. Cup. SH.Ch. (Tri.S.Cup S. Heart Ch.			_		616.1	19	Trian	375
STATIONS WERE IN					CET HOT OSITION		d. Don Cha	it in

ACCORDANCE CITH INSTRUCTIONS FOR LANDHARDS.

A list of objects carefully selected because of their value as landmarks as determined from seaward together with individual descriptions, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report.

The selection, determination, and description of these points are an important factor in the value of the chart. Land-

marks selected at appropriate intervals can be clearly charted. However, when none is outstanding, a group of two or three objects may by their interrelationship provide positive indentification. A group so selected should be indicated.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will clearly identify it: for example, a standpipe, elevated tall gas tank, church spire, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Assign numerals to landmarks to indicate: (1) offshore, (2) linshore, (3) harbort, 1, 2, 3 would be a mark useful on all charts. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently represent the chart. sufficiently permanent to chart. U. S. GOVERNMENT PROFFICE OFFICE: 1935

Review of T-62196 - west of Fing. 74-23' (see also Review of T-5104) Positions Positions. Positions.

The projection checks within 3 meters (0.3 mm.) Nour stations indicated by Triangulation symbols and not earning dates are shown in this sheet, En R 21 (U.S.E.), RnR 23 (U.S.E.), RnR 26 (U.S.E.), and RnR 27 (U.S.E.).

Twinform atim can be obtained on them from the

twoision of Godesy. Apparantly the stations were

converted from the Engineer's condinates to the N.A. 1927

and This can datum and plotted, although no record of this can be forma. A 1932 Eugineer's blue print (#25477) shows These stations. Rn R23(U.S.E.) plots in the never MT-62196. Occording to the bluegins is falls in the shore some 360 miliro last of the original position, the latitude remaining the same. The longitude condinate should be approximately 74° 24'- 526 (887) meters. Since it was plotted at 74° 24' 887 (526) miters the error was probably due to plotting. This new position is shown on the plantable sheet in green ink, but it should be midlestood Hat this position Los leen determined from scaling from a blue pour and not by converting from con auralio.

Thay 22, 1935

Trank G. Esseine

REVIEW OF GRAPHIC CONTROL SURVEY T-61/9 SCALE /-/0,000

Date of Review

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. T=5/0.3, with particular attention to the following details:
 - /(a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
 - (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.
 - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
 - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above.
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo compilations Nos. $T-\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$, for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Notes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

Bg. gone

REVIEW OF GRAPHIC CONTROL SURVEY T- 67/90, SCALE //0,000

Date of Review

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. T- 5,03, with particular attention to the following details:
 - (a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
 - (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.
 - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
 - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above.
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo completions Nos. T- 1/93, for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Hotes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

8-19-35 B.g.: Jones